

AN APPLICATION OF EXCEPTIONAL BUNDLES TO THE MODULI OF STABLE SHEAVES ON A K3 SURFACE

KŌTA YOSHIOKA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY

0. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective surface defined over $\mathbb{C}$ and L an ample divisor on X . For a coherent sheaf E on X , let $v(E) := \text{ch}(E)\sqrt{\text{Td}(X)} \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the Mukai vector of E , where $\text{Td}(X)$ is the Todd class of X . We denote the moduli of stable sheaves of Mukai vector v by $M_L(v)$, where the stability is in the sense of Simpson [S].

For a regular surface X , exceptional vector bundles which were introduced by Drezet and Le Potier [D-L] are very useful tool for analysing $M_L(v)$. For example, if $X = \mathbb{P}^2$, then Maruyama [Ma1] showed the rationality of some moduli spaces and Ellingsrud and Strømme [E-S] computed relations of a generator of $H^*(M_L(v), \mathbb{Z})$. Drezet also obtained many interesting results [D1], [D2]. If X is a K3 surface, Göttsche and Huybrechts [G-H] computed Hodge numbers of rank 2 moduli spaces. Moreover Huybrechts [H1] showed that $M_L(v)$ is deformation equivalent to a rank 1 moduli space (Hilbert scheme of points). Motivated by their results, we shall treat other rank cases. In particular, we shall prove the following asymptotic result.

Theorem 0.1. *Let $v = r + \xi + a\omega$, $\xi \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive Mukai vector of $r > 0$ and $\langle v^2 \rangle / 2 > r^2$. Then $M_L(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $\text{Hilb}_X^{\langle v^2 \rangle / 2 + 1}$ and*

$$\theta_v : v^\perp \rightarrow H^2(M(v), \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isometry which preserves hodge structures, where L is a general ample divisor.

The second assertion is known by Mukai [Mu3] and O'Grady [O] if $r \leq 2$ or ξ is primitive. For the proof of the second assertion, Mukai lattice and Mukai's reflection defined by an exceptional bundle give a clear picture.

During preparation of this paper, the author noticed that Huybrechts [H2] proved birational irreducible symplectic manifolds are deformation equivalent. Then primitive first Chern class cases (Theorem 3.8) follow from O'Grady's description of $M_L(v)$ (see [H2, Cor. 4.8] and [O]). Since our method is most successful for these cases and is needed to treat other cases (Theorem 4.5), we shall first treat these cases.

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Notation. Let M be a complex manifold. For a cohomology class $x \in H^*(M, \mathbb{Z})$, $[x]_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the $2i$ -th component of x .

Let $p : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ be a K3 surface over $\mathbb{C}$. We shall recall the Mukai lattice [Mu2]. For $x, y \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, Mukai defined a symmetric bilinear form

$$\langle x, y \rangle := -p_*(x^\vee y),$$

where $\vee : H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the homomorphism sending $x \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow x - 2[x]_1 \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For a coherent sheaf E on X , let $v(E) := \text{ch}(E)(1 + \omega) \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be the Mukai vector of E , where ω is the fundamental class of X . Then the Riemann-Roch theorem implies that $\chi(E, F) = -\langle v(E), v(F) \rangle$ for coherent sheaves E, F on X . Let N be a line bundle on X . Since

$\langle x \operatorname{ch}(N), y \operatorname{ch}(N) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$, the homomorphism $T_N : H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ sending x to $x \operatorname{ch}(N)$ is an isometry.

Let L be an ample divisor on X . For $v \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$, let $M_L(v)$ be the moduli of stable sheaves of Mukai vector v , where the stability is in the sense of Simpson [S]. By Mukai [Mu1], $M_L(v)$ is smooth of dimension $\langle v^2 \rangle + 2$. We denote the projection $S \times X \rightarrow S$ by p_S . We set

$$v^\perp := \{x \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \langle v, x \rangle = 0\}.$$

Then Mukai constructed a natural homomorphism

$$\theta_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M_L(v), \mathbb{Z})_f$$

by

$$\theta_v(x) := \frac{1}{\rho} [p_{M_L(v)*}(\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{E})(1 + \omega)x^\vee)]_1,$$

where $H^2(M_L(v), \mathbb{Z})_f$ is the torsion free quotient of $H^2(M_L(v), \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{E}$ a quasi-universal family of similitude ρ . We note that an isometry T_N , $N \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ satisfies that

$$\theta_{T_N(v)}(T_N(x)) = \theta_v(x). \quad (1.1)$$

2. FUNDAMENTAL LEMMA

We shall prove the following lemma whose proof is quite similar to [Y, Lem. 1.8].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a smooth projective surface of $\operatorname{NS}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}H$. For a coherent sheaf F of $c_1(F) = dH$, we set $\deg(F) = d$. Let r and d be relatively prime positive integers and let r_1 and d_1 be the integers which satisfy $r_1 d - r d_1 = 1$ and $0 < r_1 \leq r$. We set $r_2 := r - r_1$ and $d_2 := d - d_1$.*

(1) *Let E_1 be a stable vector bundle of rank r_1 and $\deg(E_1) = d_1$ and E_2 a stable sheaf of rank r_2 and $\deg(E_2) = d_2$. Then every non-trivial extension*

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0$$

defines a stable sheaf.

(2) *Let E_1 be a stable vector bundle of rank r_1 and $\deg(E_1) = d_1$ and E a stable sheaf of rank r and $\deg(E) = d$. Let V be a subvector space of $\operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E)$. Then $V \otimes E_1 \rightarrow E$ is injective or surjective in codimension 1. Moreover if $V \otimes E_1 \rightarrow E$ is injective, then the cokernel is stable.*

Proof. (1) We first treat the case $r_1 < r$. If E is not stable, then there is a semi-stable subsheaf G of E such that $\deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G > d/r$. Since G and E_2 are semi-stable and $\phi : G \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2$ is not zero, $\deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G \leq d_2/r_2$. We assume that $\deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G < d_2/r_2$. Then we see that $1/rr_2 = d_2/r_2 - d/r > d_2/r_2 - \deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G \geq 1/r_2 \operatorname{rk} G$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G = d_2/r_2$. Since G is semi-stable and E_2 is stable, $\ker \phi$ is semi-stable of $\deg(\ker \phi)/\operatorname{rk}(\ker \phi) = d_2/r_2$ and ϕ is surjective in codimension 1. Hence G is isomorphic to E_2 in codimension 1. Let $e \in \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1)$ be the extension class. By the homomorphism $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(G, E_1)$, e goes to 0. Since E_1 is a vector bundle, $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_2/G, E_1) = 0$. Hence $\operatorname{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^1(G, E_1)$ is injective. Thus we get that $e = 0$, which is a contradiction.

If $r_1 = r$, then $r = r_1 = 1$ and $d = d_2 = 1$. In this case, it is sufficient to prove that E is torsion free. Let G be the torsion subsheaf. Since E_1 is locally free, $G \rightarrow E_2$ is injective. Since E_2 is stable, $\operatorname{Supp} E_2/G$ is of codimension 2. In the same way, we see that the extension class is trivial, which is a contradiction.

(2) We shall prove our claim by induction on $\dim V$. We assume that $\dim V = 1$. Let $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E$ be a non-zero homomorphism. We first treat the case $r_1 < r$. Since E_1 and E are stable, $d_1/r_1 \leq \deg(\varphi(E_1))/\operatorname{rk} \varphi(E_1) < d/r$. In the same way as in the proof of (1), we see that $\operatorname{rk} \varphi(E_1) = r_1$ and $\deg(\varphi(E_1)) = d_1$. Hence we get that $E_1 \cong \varphi(E_1)$. We set $E_2 := \operatorname{coker} \varphi$. We assume that there is a quotient G of E_2 such that G is semi-stable and $d_2/r_2 > \deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$. Since G is a quotient of E , we get that $d/r < \deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G$. Hence we get that $d/r < \deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G < d_2/r_2$. Then $1/rr_2 = d_2/r_2 - d/r > d_2/r_2 - \deg(G)/\operatorname{rk} G \geq 1/r_2 \operatorname{rk} G$, which is a contradiction. Hence the support of the torsion submodule of E_2 is 0 dimensional and the torsion free quotient is stable. Since E_1 is

locally free, E_2 is torsion free and hence stable. If $r_1 = r$ ($= 1$), then φ is injective. We shall show that $\text{coker } \varphi$ is of pure dimension 1. Let T be a subsheaf of dimension 0 and $\tilde{T}$ the pull-back of T to E . Then $E_1 \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1. Since $E_1^{\vee\vee} \cong \tilde{T}^{\vee\vee}$ and E_1 is locally free, $E_1 = \tilde{T}$. Hence we get that $T = 0$. We set $C := \text{Supp } E_2$. Since H is a generator of the Néron-Severi group, C is reduced and irreducible. Hence E_2 is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 on C , which shows that E_2 is a stable sheaf.

We shall treat general cases. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$ be a basis of V and V' the subspace generated by $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n$. We note that $r_1 d_2 - r_2 d_1 = 1$. We assume that $r_1 < r_2$. By induction hypothesis, $V' \otimes E_1 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi_1)$ is injective or surjective in codimension 1. If $r_1 > r_2 > 0$, in the same way, we see that $\varphi_2 : E_1 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi_1)$ is surjective. If $r_1 = r_2$ or $r_2 = 1$, then $r_1 = d_2 = 1$. Since every degree 1 curve is reduced and irreducible, $V' \otimes E_1 \rightarrow \text{coker}(\varphi_1)$ is injective or surjective in codimension 1. Hence we get our claim. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Under the same assumption of Lemma 2.1 (1), the universal extension*

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1)^\vee \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0$$

defines a stable sheaf.

3. MODULI OF STABLE SHEAVES ON K3 SURFACES

3.1. Stable sheaves of pure dimension 1. Let H be an ample divisor on X . We set $v := H + a\omega \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For a pure dimensional sheaf E of $v(E) = v$ and an ample divisor L , $\chi(E \otimes L^{\otimes n}) = (H, L)n + b$. Hence E is semi-stable with respect to L , if

$$\frac{\chi(F)}{(c_1(F), L)} \leq \frac{\chi(E)}{(c_1(E), L)}$$

for any proper subsheaf F of E , and E is stable if the inequality is strict. We can generalize the concept of the chamber. For a sheaf F of pure dimension 1, We set $D := \chi(F)c_1(E) - \chi(E)c_1(F)$ and $W_D := \{x \in \text{Amp}(X) | (x, D) = 0\}$. Then $(D^2) = \chi(F)^2(c_1(E)^2) - 2\chi(E)\chi(F)(c_1(E), c_1(F)) + \chi(E)^2(c_1(F)^2)$. If W_D is not empty, then the Hodge index theorem implies that $(D^2) \leq 0$. Hence the choice of $\chi(F)$ is finite, which shows that the number of non-empty walls W_D is finite. We shall call a chamber a connected component of $\text{Amp}(X) \setminus \cup_D W_D$. If $c_1(E)$ is primitive, then $M_L(v)$ is compact for a general L . As is well known ([G-H, Prop. 2.2], cf. [Y, Prop. 3.3]), the choice of polarizations is not so important. Hence we denote $M_L(v)$ by $M(v)$.

3.2. Correspondence. Let r and d be relatively prime positive integers and let r_1 and d_1 be the integers which satisfy $r_1 d - r d_1 = 1$ and $0 < r_1 \leq r$. We shall consider a K3 surface X such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$, where H is an ample divisor. We assume that there are Mukai vectors $v_1, v \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = r_1 + d_1 H + a_1 \omega, \\ v = r + d H + a \omega, \\ \langle v_1^2 \rangle = -2, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $a_1, a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = -2$, there is a unique stable vector bundle E_1 of $v(E_1) = v_1$, that is $M(v_1) = \{E_1\}$. We note that E_1 satisfies that

$$\begin{cases} \text{Hom}(E_1, E_1) = \mathbb{C}, \\ \text{Ext}^1(E_1, E_1) = 0, \\ \text{Ext}^2(E_1, E_1) = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Definition 3.1. For $i \geq 1$,

$$M(v)_i := \{E \in M(v) | \dim \text{Hom}(E_1, E) = -\langle v_1, v \rangle - 1 + i\}$$

is a locally closed subscheme of $M(v)$ with reduced structure.

Definition 3.2. For $w := v - mv_1$ with $[w]_0 \geq 0$, we set

$$N(mv_1, v, w) := \{E_1^{\oplus m} \subset E \mid E \in M(v)\}.$$

Let $\pi_v : N(mv_1, v, w) \rightarrow M(v)$ is the projection and $N(mv_1, v, w)_i = \pi_v^{-1}(M(v)_i)$.

We shall construct $N(mv_1, v, w)$ as a scheme over $M(v)$. Let $Q(v)$ be the open subscheme of a canonical quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}/X}$ such that $Q(v)/PGL(N) \cong M(v)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Q(v) \times X}^{\oplus N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_v$ be the universal quotient on $Q(v) \times X$. Let $W_2 \rightarrow W_1 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow 0$ be a locally free resolution of E_1 such that $\text{Ext}_{p_{Q(v)}}^i(W_j \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{Q(v)}, \mathcal{E}_v) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $j = 1, 2$. We set $\mathcal{W}_j := \text{Hom}_{p_{Q(v)}}(W_j \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{Q(v)}, \mathcal{E}_v)$, $j = 1, 2$. We shall consider the Grassmannian bundle $\xi : \mathbb{G} = Gr(\mathcal{W}_1, m) \rightarrow Q(v)$ parametrizing m -dimensional subspaces of $(\mathcal{W}_1)_t, t \in Q(v)$. Let $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{W}_1$ be the universal subbundle. For the composition $\Psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{W}_1 \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{W}_2$, we set $D := \{t \in \mathbb{G} \mid \Psi_t = 0\}$. Then there is a universal family of homomorphisms on $D \times X$:

$$E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \xi^* \mathcal{E}_v.$$

By Lemma 2.1, m -dimensional subspace U of $\text{Hom}(E_1, E)$ defines an injective homomorphism $E_1 \otimes U \rightarrow E$. Since $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \mathcal{U}$ and Ψ are $GL(N)$ -equivariant, We get $N(mv_1, v, w) \rightarrow M(v)$ as a scheme $D/PGL(N) \rightarrow M(v)$. Since $F := \text{coker}(E_1 \otimes U \rightarrow E)$ is a stable sheaf, we also obtain a morphism $\pi_w : N(mv_1, v, w) \rightarrow M(w)$. We shall consider the fiber of π_w . For $F \in M(w)_{i+m}$, we get that $\dim \text{Ext}^1(F, E_1) = i - 1 + m$. Let $U^\vee$ be a m -dimensional subspace of $\text{Ext}^1(F, E_1)$. Then the element $e \in U \otimes \text{Ext}^1(F, E_1)$ which corresponds to the inclusion $U^\vee \subset \text{Ext}^1(F, E_1)$ defines an extension

$$0 \rightarrow U \otimes E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

By the choice of the extension class and Corollary 2.2, E is a stable sheaf. Thus the fiber of F is the Grassmannian $Gr(i - 1 + m, m)$.

Lemma 3.1. For $i \geq 1 + \langle v, v_1 \rangle$,

$$\text{codim } M(v)_i = (i - 1)(i - 1 - \langle v, v_1 \rangle).$$

In particular, if $\langle v, v_1 \rangle \leq 0$, then $M(v)_1$ is an open and dense subscheme of $M(v)$.

Proof. Let E be an element of $M(v)_i$. Then $\dim \text{Ext}^1(E_1, E) = -\chi(E_1, E) + \dim \text{Hom}(E_1, E) = i - 1$. We shall consider the universal extension

$$0 \rightarrow E_1^{\oplus(i-1)} \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

By Corollary 2.2, G is a stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(G) = (i - 1)r_1 + r$ and $\deg(G) = (i - 1)d_1 + d$. Hence we get that $\text{Ext}^2(E_1, G) = 0$. Simple calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \text{Hom}(E_1, G) &= i - 1 + \dim \text{Hom}(E_1, E) \\ &= 2i - 2 - \langle v_1, v \rangle, \\ \dim \text{Ext}^1(E_1, G) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence G belongs to $M(v(G))_1$. We set $k := 2i - 2 - \langle v_1, v \rangle$. Then the choice of $i - 1$ -dimensional subspace of $V := \text{Hom}(E_1, G)$ is parametrized by the Grassmannian $Gr(k, i - 1)$. Since $v(G) = v + (i - 1)v_1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M(v(G)) &= \langle v(G)^2 \rangle + 2 \\ &= -2(i - 1)^2 + 2(i - 1)\langle v_1, v \rangle + \langle v^2 \rangle + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M(v)_i &= \dim M(v(G)) + \dim Gr(k, i - 1) \\ &= -(i - 1)(i - 1 - \langle v_1, v \rangle) + \dim M(v), \end{aligned}$$

which implies our claim. $\square$

Remark 3.1. We can also see that $M(v)_i$ is an étale locally trivial $Gr(k, i-1)$ -bundle over $M(v(G))_1$. Moreover we can show that $\pi_v : N(mv_1, v, w)_i \rightarrow M(v)_i$ is an étale locally trivial $Gr(l, m)$ -bundle and $\pi_w : N(mv_1, v, w)_i \rightarrow M(w)_{i+m}$ is an étale locally trivial $Gr(i-1+m, m)$ -bundle, where $l := i-1 - \langle v_1, v \rangle$.

3.3. A special case. We set $k(s) := sr_1^2 + rr_1 - r^2$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a positive integer $k(s)$, we shall consider a K3 surface X such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$ of $(H^2) = 2k(s)$. We set

$$\begin{cases} v_1 = r_1 + d_1H + (d_1^2r + d_1^2sr_1 - r_1d^2 + 2d)\omega \\ v = r + dH + ((2dd_1r_1 - rd_1^2)s + d^2(r_1 - r))\omega. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Then a simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{cases} \langle v_1^2 \rangle = -2 \\ \langle v^2 \rangle = 2s \\ \langle v, v_1 \rangle = -1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.2. *We assume that $r_1 \geq r/2$. Under the following assumptions, $k(s) > 0$.*

- (i) $r = 2$ and $s \geq 3$,
- (ii) $r_1 \geq (2/3)r$ and $s \geq 1$.

Let us consider the reflection R_{v_1} of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ defined by v_1 :

$$x \mapsto x + \langle x, v_1 \rangle v_1.$$

We set $w := R_{v_1}(v) = v - v_1$. By Lemma 3.1, $N(v_1, v, w)$ gives a birational correspondence between $M(v)$ and $M(w)$.

Lemma 3.3. *$N(v_1, v, w)$ is smooth and irreducible.*

Proof. In the same way as in [G-H], it is sufficient to prove that $\text{Hom}(E, E_2) = \mathbb{C}$. We assume that $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E_2) > 1$. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E_2$ be a non-zero homomorphism. By Lemma 2.1, ϕ is surjective in codimension 1 and we also see that $\ker \phi$ is stable. Since $[v(\ker \phi)]_i = [v_1]_i$, $i = 0, 1$, the stability of $\ker \phi$ implies that $[v(\ker \phi)]_2 \leq [v_1]_2$. Since $[v(\phi(E))]_2 \leq [w]_2$ and $[v(\phi(E))]_2 + [v(\ker \phi)]_2 = [v]_2$, we must have $v(\ker \phi) = v_1$ and $v(\phi(E)) = w$. Hence ϕ is surjective and $\ker \phi \cong E_1$. Let

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(E, E_1)^\vee \rightarrow G \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

be the universal extension. Then $\ker \phi$ is determined by a m -dimensional subspace of $\text{Hom}(E_1, G)$ containing $\text{Ext}^1(E, E_1)^\vee$, where $m = \dim \text{Ext}^1(E, E_1) + 1$. We shall consider the composition $\phi' : G \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2$. Then it defines a universal extension (up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1)^\vee)$)

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes \text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1)^\vee \rightarrow G \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since G is stable, ϕ' is determined by a subspace $\text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1)^\vee$ of $\text{Hom}(E_1, G)$ up to multiplication by constants. Hence $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E_2) = 1$. $\square$

Let us consider the relation between θ_v and θ_w . By our assumption on v , there is a universal family $\mathcal{E}$ on $M(v) \times X$ ([M1]). Then $N(v_1, v, w)$ is constructed as a projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(V)$, where $V := \text{Ext}_{p_{M(v)}}^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_{M(v)} \boxtimes (E_1 \otimes K_X))$, and there is a universal homomorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{O}_{N(v_1, v, w)} \boxtimes E_1 \rightarrow \pi_v^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N(v_1, v, w)}(1)$. We denote the cokernel by $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is flat over $N(v_1, v, w)$. Since $\text{codim}(M(v)_i) \geq 2$ for $i \geq 2$, we see that $\det p_{N(v_1, v, w)!}(\mathcal{G} \otimes q^*(E_1^\vee)) \cong \mathcal{O}_{N(v_1, v, w)}$. Therefore we see that

$$\begin{aligned} [p_{N(v_1, v, w)*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{N(v_1, v, w)}(1))(1 + \omega)x^\vee)]_1 &= [p_{N(v_1, v, w)*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{G})(1 + \omega)x^\vee)]_1 \\ &= [p_{N(v_1, v, w)*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{G})(1 + \omega)(x + \langle v_1, x \rangle v_1)^\vee)]_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get that $\theta_v(x) = \theta_{R_{v_1}(v)}(R_{v_1}(x))$ for $x \in v^\perp$.

Proposition 3.4. *The following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
v^\perp & \xrightarrow{R_{v_1}} & w^\perp \\
\theta_v \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_w \\
H^2(M(v), \mathbb{Z})_f & \xrightarrow{\pi_{w*} \pi_v^*} & H^2(M(w), \mathbb{Z})_f
\end{array}$$

Let us consider the structure of $N(v_1, v, w)_i$ more closely. For simplicity we assume that $i = 2$. We set $u := v + v_1$. Let $Q(u)$ be the open subscheme of a quot scheme $\text{Quot}_{\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus N}/X}$ such that $Q(u)/PGL(N) \cong M(u)$ and let $\mathcal{O}_{Q(u) \times X}^{\oplus N} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_u$ be the universal quotient on $Q(u) \times X$. We set $V := \text{Hom}_{p_{Q(u)_1}}(E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{Q(u)_1}, \mathcal{E}_u)$, where $Q(u)_1$ is the pull-back of $M(u)_1$ to $Q(u)$. Then V is a locally free sheaf of rank 3. We shall consider projective bundles $\xi_1 : \mathbb{P}_1 := \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \rightarrow Q(u)_1$ and $\xi_2 : \mathbb{P}_2 := \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow Q(u)_1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow \xi_1^* V^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1) \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \xi_2^* V \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(1) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

be the universal bundles on $\mathbb{P}_1$ and $\mathbb{P}_2$ respectively. Let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_2$ be the incidence correspondence. Let $\eta_i : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_i$ $i = 1, 2$ be the projections. For simplicity, we denote $\eta_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(m) \otimes \eta_2^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_2}(n)$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(m, n)$. There is a universal family of filtrations

$$0 \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(-1, 0) \subset \eta_2^* \mathcal{Q}_2 \subset \eta_2^* \xi_2^* V. \quad (3.5)$$

Then there are homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha : E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1} &\rightarrow E_1 \boxtimes \xi_1^* V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1}(1) \rightarrow (\xi_1 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1 \times X}(1) \\
\beta : E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{Q}_2 &\rightarrow E_1 \boxtimes \xi_2^* V \rightarrow (\xi_2 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_u.
\end{aligned}$$

By the construction of the homomorphisms, α and β are injective and $\mathcal{E}_v := \text{coker } \alpha$ and $\mathcal{E}_w := \text{coker } \beta$ are flat family of stable sheaves of Mukai vectors v and w respectively. Then we get the following exact and commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(-1, 0) & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes \eta_2^* \mathcal{Q}_2 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(1, -1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}(-1, 0) & \longrightarrow & (\xi_1 \eta_1 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_u & \longrightarrow & (\eta_1 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_v \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & (\eta_2 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_w & \equiv & (\eta_2 \times id_X)^* \mathcal{E}_w \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

The homomorphism ψ defines a morphism $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow N(v_1, v, w)_2$. Obviously this morphism is $PGL(N)$ invariant, and hence we get a morphism $f : \mathcal{Z}/PGL(N) \rightarrow N(v_1, v, w)$. Conversely, let $\mathcal{F}_v$ be a family of stable sheaves which belong to $M(v)_2$ and let $\psi : E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_v$ be a family of homomorphisms which belong to $N(v_1, v, w)_2$ and are parametrized by a scheme S over $M(v)_2$. Then $L := \text{Ext}_{p_S^1}(\mathcal{F}_v, E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S)$ is a line bundle. Hence we get an extension

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \boxtimes L^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_v \rightarrow 0.$$

We set $\mathcal{F}_w := \text{coker } \psi$ and $\mathcal{G} := \ker(\mathcal{F}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_w)$. Then $\mathcal{F}_w$ is a family of stable sheaves which belong to $M(w)$. We get the following exact and commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 0 & & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes L^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \psi \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_1 \boxtimes L^\vee & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_u & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_v \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \mathcal{F}_w & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{F}_w \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & 0 & & 0
\end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

We set $Q := \text{Hom}_{p_S}(E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{G})$. Then it is easy to see that $E_1 \boxtimes Q \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism. $E_1 \boxtimes L^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3$ defines a morphism $S \rightarrow N(v_1, u, v)_1$. The filtration of vector bundles

$$0 \subset L^\vee \subset Q \subset \text{Hom}_{p_S}(E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_S, \mathcal{F}_u)$$

defines a lifting $S \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}/PGL(N)$. In particular, we obtain a morphism $g : N(v_1, v, w)_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}/PGL(N)$. Then g is the inverse of f . We also see that $\mathbb{P}_1/PGL(N) = N(v_1, u, v)_1 \rightarrow M(v)_2$ and $\mathbb{P}_2/PGL(N) = N(2v_1, u, w)_1 \rightarrow M(w)_3$ are isomorphisms. Therefore $\pi_w \pi_v^{-1} : M(v) \dashrightarrow M(w)$ is an elementary transformation in codimension 2. By using [H1, Cor. 5.5] or [H2, Cor. 4.7], we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *$M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $M(w)$.*

Remark 3.2. In the notation of Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1, we shall consider étale locally trivial $Gr(2i-1, i-1) \times Gr(2i-1, i)$ -bundle $M(v)_i \times_{M(v(G))_1} M(w)_{i+1} \rightarrow M(v(G))_1$. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the incidence correspondence. Then we also see that $\mathcal{Z}$ is isomorphic to $N(v_1, v, w)_i$.

3.4. Cohomologies of $M(v)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $\rho(X) \geq 2$. Let $v = l(r + \xi) + a\omega$, $\xi \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a Mukai vector such that $r + \xi$ is primitive. Then there is a line bundle L such that (1) $\xi' := [T_L(v)]_1/l = \xi + rL$ is primitive, (2) ξ' is ample, and (3) $(\xi')^2 \geq 4$.*

Proof. Let L' be a primitive ample divisor on X such that L' and ξ are linearly independent. Let n be an integer such that r and n are relatively prime. Since L' is primitive, and $[T_{nL'}(v)]_1/l = \xi + rnL'$, $[T_{nL'}(v)]_1/l$ is primitive. Hence for a sufficiently large integer n , $L := nL'$ satisfies our claims. $\square$

Proposition 3.7. *Let X_1 and X_2 be K3 surfaces, and let $v_1 := l(r + \xi_1) + a_1\omega \in H^*(X_1, \mathbb{Z})$ and $v_2 := l(r + \xi_2) + a_2\omega \in H^*(X_2, \mathbb{Z})$ be Mukai vectors such that (1) $r + \xi_1$ and $r + \xi_2$ are primitive, (2) $\langle v_1^2 \rangle = \langle v_2^2 \rangle = 2s$, and (3) $a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{l}$. Then $M(v_1)$ and $M(v_2)$ are deformation equivalent.*

Proof. We may assume that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are ample. We assume that $\rho(X_i) = 1$ for some i . Let T_i be a connected smooth curve and $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i)$ a pair of a smooth family of K3 surfaces $p_{T_i} : \mathcal{X}_i \rightarrow T_i$ and a relatively ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i$. For points $t_0, t_1 \in T_i$, we assume that $((\mathcal{X}_i)_{t_0}, (\mathcal{L}_i)_{t_0}) = (X_i, \xi_i)$ and $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{t_1}$ is a K3 surface of $\rho((\mathcal{X}_i)_{t_1}) \geq 2$. We can construct an algebraic space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_i/T_i}(v_i) \rightarrow T_i$ which is smooth and proper over T_i , and is a family of moduli of stable sheaves on geometric fibers of Mukai vector v_i with respect to general polarizations on fibers ([G-H], [O], [Y]). Replacing X_i by $(\mathcal{X}_i)_{t_1}$, we may assume that $\rho(X_i) \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 3.6, we may assume that (1) ξ_i is primitive, (2) ξ_i is ample, and (3) $(\xi_i^2) \geq 4$. Let $\pi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be an elliptic K3 surface of $\rho(Z) = 2$ and let f be a fiber of π and C a section of π . Then by using deformations of (X_i, ξ_i) and $M(v_i)$, we see that $M(v_i)$ is deformation equivalent to $M(v'_i)$, where $v'_i := l(r + (C + k_i f)) + a_i\omega$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{l}$, we set $n := (a_1 - a_2)/l$. Then it is easy to see that $T_{nf}(v'_2) = v'_1$. $\square$

Remark 3.3. By the proof of [Mu2, Thm. A.5], there is a quasi-universal family $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{X}_i/T_i}(v_i) \times_{T_i} \mathcal{X}_i$. Since $\mathcal{L}$ is relatively ample, we can consider a locally free resolution of $\mathcal{F}$. In particular, we can construct a family of homomorphisms $(\theta_{v_i})_t : (v_i)_t^\perp \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{M}_{(\mathcal{X}_i)_t/k(t)}(v_i), \mathbb{Z})$, where $(v_i)_t^\perp \subset H^*((\mathcal{X}_i)_t, \mathbb{Z})$. This fact will be used in the proof of Corollary 3.9 (cf. [Y, Prop. 3.3]).

We get another proof of [H2, Cor. 4.8].

Theorem 3.8. *Let $v = r + \xi + a\omega$, $\xi \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a Mukai vector such that $r > 0$ and $r + \xi$ is primitive. Then $M(v)$ and $\text{Hilb}_X^{\langle v^2 \rangle/2+1}$ are deformation equivalent. If $r = 0$, ξ is ample, and $\langle \xi^2 \rangle \geq 4$, then the same results hold.*

Proof. If $\langle v^2 \rangle = 0$, then Mukai [Mu1] showed that $M(v)$ is a K3 surface. Hence we assume that $\langle v^2 \rangle = 2s > 0$. We first assume that $r \neq 2$. Let v be a Mukai vector in Theorem 3.5. We assume that $d = r - 1$. Since $r_1 = r - 1$, $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to Hilb_X^{s+1} . By Proposition 3.7, $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to Hilb_X^{s+1} for every v .

We shall treat the rank 2 case. Let s be a positive integer. By Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.2, there is a Mukai vector v and w such that $\langle v^2 \rangle = \langle w^2 \rangle = 2s$, $[v]_0 = 2$, $[w]_0 = 7$, and $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $M(w)$. By using Proposition 3.7, we see that $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $\text{Hilb}_X^{\langle v^2 \rangle/2+1}$ for every v . $\square$

We also get another proof of [O].

Corollary 3.9. *Under the same assumption of Theorem 3.8 and $\langle v^2 \rangle \geq 2$, $M(v)$ is an irreducible symplectic manifold and*

$$\theta_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M(v), \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isometry which preserves hodge structures.

Proof. The rank one case easily follows from [B] (cf. [Mu3],[O]). By using (1.1), Proposition 3.4, Remark 3.3, and the proofs of Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.8, we get our corollary. $\square$

4. NON-PRIMITIVE FIRST CHERN CLASS CASES

In this section, we shall consider a more general case and get some partial results. Let r and d be relatively prime non-negative integers. Then there are integers r_1 and d_1 such that $dr_1 - d_1r = 1$. Let l be a positive integer such that l and r_1 are relatively prime. We shall choose r_1 of $0 < r_1 < lr$. Then there are unique pair of integers p, q of $pr_1 - ql = -1$ and $0 \leq p < l$. We set $k(s) := r_1(qr + r_1s) - r^2, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let X be a K3 surface such that $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H$ and $(H^2) = 2k(s)$. We set

$$\begin{cases} v = lr + ldH + \{l((1 + dr_1)d_1s + d^2qr_1 - rd^2) - p\}\omega, \\ v_1 = r_1 + d_1H + \{r_1(-d^2 + d_1^2s) + d_1^2rq + 2d\}\omega. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Then we see that

$$\begin{cases} \langle v_1^2 \rangle = -2, \\ \langle v^2 \rangle = 2l(ls + rp), \\ \langle v_1, v \rangle = -1. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

We set $w := v - v_1$ and we shall consider the relation between $M(v)$ and $M(w)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E_1 be a stable vector bundle of $\text{rk}(E_1) = r_1$ and $\deg(E_1) = d_1$.*

(1) *Let E be a μ -stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(E) = lr$ and $\deg(E) = ld$. Then every non-zero homomorphism $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E$ is injective and $\text{coker } \phi$ is a stable sheaf.*

(2) *Let E be a μ -stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(E) = lr$ and $\deg(E) = ld$. For a non-trivial extension*

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0,$$

E' is a stable sheaf.

(3) Let E_2 be a stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(E_2) = lr - r_1$ and $\deg(E_2) = ld - d_1$. Then every non-trivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

defines a μ -semi-stable sheaf.

(4) Let E' be a stable sheaf of $\text{rk}(E') = lr + r_1$ and $\deg(E') = ld + d_1$. Then every non-zero homomorphism $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E'$ is injective and $\text{coker } \phi$ is μ -semi-stable.

Proof. (1) Since $d_1/r_1 = \deg(E_1)/\text{rk}(E_1) \leq \deg(\phi(E_1))/\text{rk}(\phi(E_1)) < \deg(E)/\text{rk}(E) = d/r$, we get that $1/rr_1 = d/r - d_1/r_1 \geq d/r - \deg(\phi(E_1))/\text{rk}(\phi(E_1)) \geq 1/r \text{rk}(\phi(E_1))$. Hence we obtain that $\text{rk}(\phi(E_1)) = r_1$, which implies that ϕ is injective. We assume that $\text{coker } \phi$ is not stable. Then there is a semi-stable quotient sheaf G of $\text{coker } \phi$ such that $\deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) < \deg(\text{coker } \phi)/\text{rk}(\text{coker } \phi) = (ld - d_1)/(lr - r_1)$. Since G is a quotient of E , we get that $\deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) > d/r$. Hence we obtain that $1/(lr - r_1)r = (ld - d_1)/(lr - r_1) - d/r > \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) - d/r \geq 1/r \text{rk}(G)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore G is a stable sheaf.

(2) We assume that E' is not stable. Let G be a destabilizing semi-stable subsheaf of E' . We assume that $\phi : G \rightarrow E$ is not surjective in codimension 1. Then the μ -stability of E implies that $d/r > \deg(\phi(G))/\text{rk}(\phi(G)) \geq \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) > \deg(E')/\text{rk}(E') = (ld + d_1)/(lr + r_1)$. Hence we see that $1/r(lr + r_1) > d/r - \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) \geq 1/r \text{rk}(G)$, which is a contradiction. Thus ϕ is surjective in codimension 1. If $\deg(\phi(G))/\text{rk}(\phi(G)) > \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G)$, then we also get a contradiction, and hence $\ker \phi$ is μ -semi-stable of $\deg(\ker \phi)/\text{rk}(\ker \phi) = \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G)$. Thus $\deg(\ker \phi)/\text{rk}(\ker \phi) > d_1/r_1$, which contradicts the stability of E_1 . Therefore $G \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1. In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we see that the extension is split, which is a contradiction.

(3) We assume that E is not μ -semi-stable. Let G be a semi-stable subsheaf of E such that $d/r < \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G)$. It is sufficient to show that $\phi : G \rightarrow E_2$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1. We note that $d/r < \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) \leq \deg(\phi(G))/\text{rk}(\phi(G)) \leq (ld - d_1)/(lr - r_1)$. Then we get that $1/r(lr - r_1) = (ld - d_1)/(lr - r_1) - d/r \geq \deg(\phi(G))/\text{rk}(\phi(G)) - d/r \geq 1/\text{rk}(\phi(G))r$. Thus we obtain that $\text{rk}(\phi(G)) = (lr - r_1)$ and $\deg(\phi(G))/\text{rk}(\phi(G)) = (ld - d_1)/(lr - r_1)$. Therefore ϕ is surjective in codimension 1. We set $G' := \ker \phi$. We assume that $G' \neq 0$. Since $\text{rk}(G) = \text{rk}(G') + lr - r_1$, $\deg(G) = \deg(G') + ld - d_1$ and $d/r - \deg(G)/\text{rk}(G) < 0$, we get that $r \deg(G') \geq d \text{rk}(G')$. Hence $\deg(G')/\text{rk}(G') > \deg(E_1)/\text{rk}(E_1)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $G \rightarrow E_2$ is an isomorphism in codimension 1.

The proof of (4) is similar to that of (1). $\square$

Let $M(v)^\mu$ be the open subscheme of $M(v)$ consisting of μ -stable sheaves. In the same way as in section 3, we shall define $N(v_1, v, w)$ and an open subscheme $N(v_1, v, w)^\mu := \{E_1 \subset E \mid E \in M(v)^\mu\}$.

Lemma 4.2. $N(v_1, v, w)^\mu$ is smooth and irreducible.

Proof. For $E_1 \subset E \in N(v_1, v, w)^\mu$, we set $E_2 := E/E_1$. It is sufficient to show that $\text{Hom}(E, E_2) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E_2$ be a non-zero homomorphism. Then we see that $\ker \phi \cong E_1$ and ϕ is surjective. We assume that $\dim \text{Hom}(E, E_2) > 1$. We set $\mathbb{P} := \mathbb{P}(\text{Hom}(E, E_2)^\vee)$. Since E_1 is simple, we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n) \rightarrow E \rightarrow E_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \det p_{\mathbb{P}!}(E_1^\vee \otimes E_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(n)) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2n), \\ \det p_{\mathbb{P}!}(E_1^\vee \otimes E_2 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(1)) &\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(2n) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}(-1)$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

By using Lemma 4.1 and similar correspondence $N(v_1, v + v_1, v)$, we see that $\text{codim}_{M(v)^\mu}(M(v)_i^\mu) \geq 2$ for $i \geq 2$. Indeed, we get that $\dim M(v)_i^\mu = \dim N(v_1, v + v_1, v)_{i-1} - (i-2) = \dim M(v + v_1)_{i-1} + 2 \leq \dim M(v) - 2$. In particular $N(v_1, v, w)$ defines a birational correspondence. By virtue of Lemma 4.2, we also see that $\text{codim}_{M(v)^\mu}(M(v)_i^\mu) \geq 3$ for $i \geq 3$. By using [H2, Cor. 4.7], we obtain the following.

Proposition 4.3. *We assume that $M(v)^\mu \neq \emptyset$. Then $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $M(w)$, in particular $M(v)$ is an irreducible symplectic manifold. Moreover if $\text{codim}_{M(v)}(M(v) \setminus M(v)^\mu) \geq 2$, then the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} v^\perp & \xrightarrow{R_{v_1}} & w^\perp \\ \theta_v \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_w \\ H^2(M(v), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{w*} \pi_v^*} & H^2(M(w), \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

We shall give an estimate of $\text{codim}_{M(v)}(M(v) \setminus M(v)^\mu)$, which depends on l and $\langle v^2 \rangle$.

Lemma 4.4. *We assume that $\langle v^2 \rangle / 2l \geq l$. Then*

$$\dim(M(v)) - \dim(M(v) \setminus M(v)^\mu) \geq \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} - l + 1.$$

In particular $\text{codim}_{M(v)}(M(v) \setminus M(v)^\mu) \geq 2$ for $\langle v^2 \rangle / 2l > l$.

Proof. Let E be a μ -semi-stable sheaf of $v(E) = v$ and let $0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots \subset F_t = E$ be a Jprdan-Hölder filtration of E with respect to μ -stability. We set $E_i := F_i / F_{i-1}$. Then the moduli number of this filtration is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \leq j} (\dim \text{Ext}^1(E_j, E_i) - \dim \text{Hom}(E_j, E_i)) + 1 \\ & \leq - \sum_{i \leq j} \chi(E_j, E_i) + \sum_{i \leq j} \dim \text{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) + 1 \\ & \leq - \chi(E, E) + \sum_{i > j} \chi(E_j, E_i) + \sum_{i < j} \dim \text{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) + t + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We set $v(E) := lr + ldH + a\omega$ and $v(E_i) := l_i r + l_i dH + a_i \omega$. Since $\langle v(E_i), v(E_j) \rangle = l_i l_j d^2 H^2 - r(l_i a_j + l_j a_i)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i > j} \chi(E_j, E_i) &= - \sum_{i > j} \langle v(E_j), v(E_i) \rangle \\ &= - \sum_{i > j} \left\{ \frac{l_i(l_j d^2 H^2 - 2ra_j)}{2} + \frac{l_j(l_i d^2 H^2 - 2ra_i)}{2} \right\} \\ &= - \sum_{i > j} \left\{ \frac{l_i \langle v(E_j)^2 \rangle}{2l_j} + \frac{l_j \langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{2l_i} \right\} \\ &= - \sum_i \frac{(l - l_i) \langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{2l_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We set $\max_i \{l_i\} = (l - k)$. Let i_0 be an integer such that $\langle v(E_{i_0})^2 \rangle \geq 0$. Since $\sum_i l_i = l$, we obtain that $t \leq k + 1$. Since $l - l_i - k \geq 0$ and $\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle \geq -2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i > j} \langle v(E_j), v(E_i) \rangle &= k \sum_i \frac{\langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{2l_i} + \sum_i \frac{(l - l_i - k) \langle v(E_i)^2 \rangle}{2l_i} \\ &\geq k \frac{\langle v(E)^2 \rangle}{2l} - \sum_{i \neq i_0} (l - l_i - k) \\ &\geq k \frac{\langle v(E)^2 \rangle}{2l} - (l - 1 - k)k. \end{aligned}$$

If $r > 1$ or $l_i > 1$ for some i , then for a general filtration, there are E_i and E_j such that $\text{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) = 0$. Therefore we get that $\sum_{i < j} \dim \text{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) \leq (k + 1)k/2 - 1$ for a general filtration. Then the

moduli number of these filtrations is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v^2 \rangle - k \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} + (l-1-k)k + \frac{(k+1)k}{2} - 1 + t + 1 &\leq (\langle v^2 \rangle + 2) - \left(k \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} - lk + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} + 1 \right) \\ &\leq (\langle v^2 \rangle + 2) - \left(\frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} - l + 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $l_i = 1$ for all i and $r = 1$, then $\sum_{i < j} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^2(E_j, E_i) \leq k(k+1)/2 = (l-1)l/2$, and hence we obtain that the moduli number is bounded by

$$(\langle v^2 \rangle + 2) - \left(\frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} - l + \frac{(l-1)(l-2)}{2} \right).$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\dim(M(v)) - \dim(M(v) \setminus M(v)^\mu) \geq \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle}{2l} - l + 1$$

unless $r = 1$ and $l = 2$. If $r = 1$ and $l = 2$, then the moduli number of filtrations

$$0 \subset T_Z \subset E$$

of $c_2(I_Z) = c_2(E)$ is $(\langle v^2 \rangle + 2) - (\langle v^2 \rangle/2l - l)$. In this case, we can easily show that $E^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}$, and hence the moduli number of these E is bounded by $3c_2(E) - 3 \leq (\langle v^2 \rangle + 2) - (\langle v^2 \rangle/2l - l + 1)$. Therefore we obtain our lemma. $\square$

Theorem 4.5. *Let $v = lr + l\xi + a\omega$, $\xi \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ be a primitive Mukai vector such that $r > 0$ and $r + \xi$ is primitive. If $\langle v^2 \rangle/2 > \max\{rl(rl-1), l^2\}$, then $M(v)$ is deformation equivalent to $\operatorname{Hilb}_X^{\langle v^2 \rangle/2+1}$ and*

$$\theta_v : v^\perp \longrightarrow H^2(M(v), \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isometry which preserves hodge structures.

Proof. By Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 4.4, it is sufficient to find a Mukai vector $v' = l(r + \xi') + a'\omega$ which satisfies (1) $r + \xi'$ is primitive, (2) $\langle v'^2 \rangle = \langle v^2 \rangle$, (3) $a' \equiv a \pmod{l}$, and (4) $M(v')$ satisfies our claims. By Proposition 4.3, it is sufficient to find a Mukai vector v' of the form (4.1). Hence $a \equiv -p \pmod{l}$. We have to choose integers r_1, d_1 and d . We note that $pr_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{l}$, and r_1 and r are relatively prime. We can easily choose such an integer r_1 of $0 < r_1 < lr$. Then we can choose d and d_1 of $dr_1 - d_1r = 1$. Since $\langle v^2 \rangle/2l > r(rl-1)$, we see that $k(s) = (r_1\langle v^2 \rangle/2l + r)r_1/l - r^2 > 0$. Hence we get a Mukai vector v' of the form (4.1) $\square$

Tohru Nakashima taught the author the following remark.

Remark 4.1. If $r = 1$ and $\xi = 0$, then $rl(rl-1) < l^2$. Hence the assertions of Theorem 4.5 hold for $\langle v^2 \rangle/2l > l$. It is known that $M(v)^\mu \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\langle v^2 \rangle/2l \geq l$, and $\langle v^2 \rangle/2l \neq l$ if v is primitive.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Masaki Maruyama for giving him a preprint of Ellingsrud and Strømme ([E-S]), which inspired him very much, Tohru Nakashima for teaching him Remark 4.1, and Hiraku Nakajima for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES

- [B] Beauville, A., *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe Chern est nulle*, J. Diff. Geom. **18** (1983), 755–782
- [D1] Drezet, J.-M., *Fibrés exceptionnels et variétés de modules de faisceaux semi-stables sur $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{C})$* , J. reine angew. Math. **380** (1987), 14–58
- [D2] Drezet, J.-M., *Cohomologie des variétés de modules de hauteur nulle*, Math. Ann. **281** (1988), 43–85
- [D-L] Drezet, J.-M., Le-Potier, J., *Fibrés stables et fibrés exceptionnels sur $\mathbb{P}^2$* , Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, t. **18** (1985), 193–244
- [E-S] Ellingsrud, G., Strømme, S. A., *Towards the Chow ring of the Hilbert scheme on $\mathbb{P}^2$* , J. reine angew. Math. **441** (1993), 33–44

- [G-H] Göttsche, L., Huybrechts, D., *Hodge numbers of moduli spaces of stable bundles on K3 surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), 359–372
- [H1] Huybrechts, D., *Birational symplectic manifolds and their deformations*, J. Diff. Geom. **45** to appear
- [H2] Huybrechts, D., *Compact Hyperkähler Manifolds: Basic Results*, alg-geom/9705025
- [Ma1] Maruyama, M., *Moduli of stable sheaves II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **18** (1978), 557–614
- [Ma2] Maruyama, M., *Moduli of algebraic vector bundles*, in preparation
- [Mu1] Mukai, S., *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on an abelian or K3 surface*, Invent. math. **77** (1984), 101–116
- [Mu2] Mukai, S., *On the moduli space of bundles on K3 surfaces I*, Vector bundles on Algebraic Varieties, Oxford, 1987, 341–413
- [Mu3] Mukai, S., *Moduli of vector bundles on K3 surfaces, and symplectic manifolds*, Sugaku Expositions, **1** (1988), 139–174
- [O] O’Grady, K., *The weight-two Hodge structure of moduli spaces of sheaves on a K3 surface*, preprint (1995)
- [S] Simpson, C., *Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety I*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **79** (1994), 47–129
- [Y] Yoshioka, K., *Some notes on the moduli of stable sheaves on elliptic surfaces*, alg-geom/9705007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KOBE UNIVERSITY, KOBE, 657, JAPAN
E-mail address: yoshioka@math.s.kobe-u.ac.jp